



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/712,907  | 11/12/2003  | Stephen L. Palmer    | WMO 3F7             | 9485             |
| 23581   | 7590        | 10/05/2006           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.<br>200 PACIFIC BUILDING<br>520 SW YAMHILL STREET<br>PORTLAND, OR 97204 |             |                      | NGUYEN, THUKHANH T  |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1722                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                                 |                     |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>          | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                              | 10/712,907                      | PALMER ET AL.       |
|                              | Examiner<br>Thu Khanh T. Nguyen | Art Unit<br>1722    |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2005.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/13/04</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method of forming article from a solid raw material, classified in class 264, subclass 319.
- II. Claims 7-13, drawn to a press, classified in class 425, subclass 318.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus wherein the plunger of the hopper is the pressing piston.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and patentability issues, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a telephone conversation between examiner Lawrence Lambelet and Mr. John McCormack on 8/25/2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 7-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meth et al (3,892,510).

Meth teaches a toy mold, comprising an injection zone, or a passage (54) for receiving molding material; a compression chamber, or a mold (12) for receiving and compressing the material into a solid molding product, and a pressing piston (62) for pressing the material from the passage into the mold.

Although the claims have repeatedly claim an isostatic press, the press is reviewed as a regular press instead of an isostatic press because the specification and the claims fail to disclose means utilizing an equal application of pressure to all sides of the quantity of material as defined by the class definition (425/405.2).

In regard to claim 8, wherein the releasable/detachable mold (12) includes a molding chamber, and the mold inherently includes a locking means, such as bolts or nuts for securing, or locking, the mold into the material passage (col. col. 2, lines 52-59).

In regard to claim 9-11, wherein the mold include a first and second mold walls (162, 164) for forming a first and second boundaries and a mold cavity (180) in between the boundaries.

Meth further discloses a press handle (32) coupling with the pressing piston (62) which connected to a plunger (60) for compressing the material into the mold chamber (12).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meth et al (3,892,510) as applied to claims 7-11 above and further in view of Cerrone (4,781,564).

Meth et al discloses a compression apparatus as described above, but fails to disclose a hopper and a plunger for pushing the material through the hopper.

Cerrone discloses a compressing mold, comprising a compression chamber (68) connected to a hopper (37) and a grinding screw (46) which is equivalent to a plunger for pushing the material from the hopper (37) through an opening (20) into the compression chamber (68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Meth et al by providing a hopper with a plunger or a screw as taught by Cerrone because the hopper would continuously feed material into the compression chamber and would control the amount of material being fed into the compression chamber.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



TN  
9/29/06